

**Aging and Disability Resource Connection (ADRC)
Advisory Committee Meeting
October 2, 2015 Meeting Notes**

Committee Members Present:

Teresa Favuzzi	Victoria Jump
Derrell Kelch	Maribel Marin
Elsa Quezada (by phone)	Dani Anderson
Ana Acton (by phone)	Eldon Luce
Brenda Schmitthenner	
Paula Margeson	

State Agency Representatives Present:

Lora Connolly, CDA
Ed Long, CDA
Robin Jordan, CDA
Jay Harris, DOR
Karli Holkko, DHCS
Michi Gates, DDS
Anita Shumaker, CDVA (by phone)
Paula Acosta, CDA (by phone)

Agenda Item 1: Opening

Committee Chairs Derrell Kelch and Teresa Favuzzi called the meeting to order and welcomed attendees. Committee members introduced themselves. The committee members reviewed and accepted notes from August 21, 2015.

Agenda Item 2: Review and Finalize ADRC Advisory Charter

Derrell Kelch asked if the logo for the Department of Rehabilitation should be included on the Charter. Members were in agreement that it should be added. Teresa Favuzzi walked members through each section of the charter. Purpose was reviewed and accepted as written. Vision Statement was discussed in detail and will be revised to reflect the recommendation from members. Objectives had additional revisions and funding for ADRCs was added as a tenth objective. Members recommended that we look at staggering term limits for committee members.

Otherwise, all members would terminate at the same time. Members agreed to adopt the Charter pending the agreed upon changes.

Agenda Item 3: ADRC Designation Criteria

Committee Chairs discussed the history, both state and federal, that led up to having ADRC Designation Standards. Topics touched upon included the workload associated with the application process, accountability oversight, federal reporting, the changing federal and state oversight landscape, and the general intent and purpose of embarking on the ADRC No Wrong Door model of improving consumer access to LTSS information and services.

More general discussion included person-centered planning and streamlining consumer access to public programs. Discussions led to general questions on ways to have “phase-in” and “levels” of achieving full ADRC Designation. The discussion led back to the original Vision and Mission of the AC.

In response to the discussion of why California should embrace the federal ADRC criteria, Ed Long discussed where ADRC appears in the Older Americans Act and the supports available to states through the ADRC Technical Assistance Exchange (TAE) website. The TAE includes federal policy direction on No Wrong Door systems and information linking state and local ADRC initiatives to Medicaid, Veterans programs and other funding streams.

Some discussion focused on the pros and cons of the ADRC experience. Experienced ADRC AC members discussed the ongoing nature of the ADRC “journey” and the positive results of integrating intake in order to help consumers get to the right services at the right time (San Diego and Nevada ADRCs).

More general discussion focused on flexibility versus the need for standards to ensure the consistency and quality of ADRC services.

The group expressed a desire to learn more about how existing ADRCs are meeting the ADRC Designation Standards. Again, the AC discussed the desire to hear from existing ADRCs in CA and how many/which ones continue to meet designation standards. Existing state designated ADRCs are: Alameda County, Nevada County, Orange County , Riverside County, San Diego County, and San Francisco County.

Due to the lengthy discussion on ADRC designation criteria the members were in agreement to defer the discussion of Quality Assurance to a later date.

Agenda Item 4: California Community Transitions (CCT) Update

Karli Holkko reported that there have been 2,441 completed transitions. The CCT workgroup met on September 30, 2015. Anthem and Care1st Health Plans participated in the meeting and are very much interested in being involved in CCT from the start of the transition process. They feel they can be a resource for Lead Organizations. In addition, there is a need for additional training.

Paula Margeson asked whether there had been a cost analysis of nursing home care versus the cost of maintaining the individual within the community. Karli was uncertain whether a cost analysis study had been done.

Derrell asked if there was anyone from the ADRC AC participating on the CCT Workgroup. Robin stated that she is representing ADRC on the CCT workgroup. Meetings are also open to the public.

Agenda Item 5: Next Steps

- Members agreed to meet on November 13, 2015.
- Meeting survey to be sent to members to get the next meetings on the calendar.
- Levels of ADRC Designation Criteria document will be prepared for next meeting.
- Options Counseling /Person Centered Planning Comparison document requested for next meeting.